



TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO): SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE

Date: 16th December 2022	Surveyor: GCB
Tree details	
TPO Ref: T2-756	Tree/Group No: W1
Species: Mixed	
The Southampton (Swift Hollow) Tree Preservation Order 2022	

Part 1: Amenity assessment

a) Condition & suitability for TPO:

Refer to Guidance Note for definitions

- | | |
|---------------------|-------------------------|
| 5) Good | Highly suitable |
| 3) Fair | Suitable |
| 1) Poor | Unlikely to be suitable |
| 0) Dead | Unsuitable |
| 0) Dying/dangerous* | Unsuitable |

* Relates to existing condition and is intended to apply to severe irremediable effects only.

Score & Notes	5
--------------------------	----------

b) Remaining longevity (in years) & suitability for TPO:

- | | |
|-----------|-----------------|
| 5) 100+ | Highly suitable |
| 4) 40-100 | Very suitable |
| 2) 20-40 | Suitable |
| 1) 10-20 | Just suitable |
| 0) <10* | Unsuitable |

* Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those clearly outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality.

Score & Notes	5
--------------------------	----------

c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO:

Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use.

- | | |
|---|---------------------|
| 5) Very large trees with some visibility, or prominent large trees. | Highly suitable |
| 4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public | Suitable |
| 3) Medium trees, or large trees with limited view only | Just suitable |
| 2) Young, small, or medium/large trees visible only with difficulty | Barely suitable |
| 1) Trees not visible to the public, regardless of size | Probably unsuitable |

Score & Notes	4
--------------------------	----------

d) Other factors

Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

- | | | | |
|--|--|--------------------------|----------|
| 5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees | <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Score & Notes</td> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">4</td> </tr> </table> | Score & Notes | 4 |
| Score & Notes | | 4 | |
| 4) Tree groups, or members of groups important for their cohesion | | | |
| 3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habit importance | | | |
| 2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual | | | |
| 1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features | | | |

Part 2: Expediency assessment

Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify.

- | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--|--------------------------|----------|
| 5) Immediate threat to tree | <table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <tr> <td style="width: 50%;">Score & Notes</td> <td style="width: 50%; text-align: center;">2</td> </tr> </table> | Score & Notes | 2 |
| Score & Notes | | 2 | |
| 3) Foreseeable threat to tree | | | |
| 2) Perceived threat to tree | | | |
| 1) Precautionary only. | | | |

Part 3: Decision guide

- | | |
|-------|-----------------------|
| Any 0 | Do not apply TPO |
| 1-6 | TPO indefensible |
| 7-10 | Does not merit TPO |
| 11-14 | TPO defensible |
| 15+ | Definitely merits TPO |

Add Scores for Total:	20
------------------------------	-----------

Decision:	Make TPO
------------------	-----------------